Multiple Principal Investigators

Status Report

Daniel Sullivan Walter Schaffer Stolen by Tim Twomey

Proposed NIH Models

- Single PI
 - <u> PI</u>
 - Co-investigators
 - Key Personnel
 - Consultants
 - Etc.

- Multiple Pl's
 - 2 or More Pl's
 - Co-investigators
 - Key Personnel
 - Consultants

• <u>Etc.</u>

Note: For NIH, the "NSF Model" of a PI <u>and</u> one or more Co-PI's is NOT currently proposed.

Concepts

1. Allow more than one Principal Investigator on grant applications and awards

- Definition in the GPS need not change
- All Principal Investigators would share responsibility for the proper conduct of the project and all necessary requirements and reports
- All Principal Investigators would be identified on notices of grant award and in NIH reports
- 2. Recognize the contributions of PIs and other Key Personnel on the project
 - The definition for Key Personnel and co-Investigator in the GPS need not change
 - Key Personnel and their roles would be identified in NIH reports

1) Follow the lead of other Federal Agencies

- NSF and DOD permit a PI and Co-PIs
- Capabilities to list PI and Co-PI built into the 424 Form
- Does not preclude but does not specifically recognize multiple PIs with equivalent status
- Directive from OSTP imminent
- OSTP issuing an RFI to explore the concept on a fed-wide basis
- 2) Contact or Corresponding PI
 - Would not designate any leadership hierarchy or special privileges with respect to the project
 - The Contact PI would have the responsibility of keeping other PIs apprised of all correspondence from the NIH.
 - The Contact PI might have responsibility (along with the signing official) for approval of final submission of the application.

3) Prior Approval Requirements

- Since all PIs would be listed on the NGA, changes would require prior approval from the awarding component
- 4) Pls at different institutions
 - Awards will continue to be made to a single institution
 - Normally, the contact PI will be located at the awardee institution (conference grants?)
 - The awardee institution will continue to use subcontracts and other consortial arrangements to facilitate the partnership with other institutions
 - Linked awards?

5) Management Plan

- Require a scientific management plan to describe the role, scientific and technical responsibility of each PI
- Consistent with current practice for certain NIH awards
- Plan for resolving disagreements
- 6) Effect on Department-Based NIH Reports
 - Inclusion of multiple PIs from different departments will prevent assignment of the award to a specific department
 - Departmental ranking tables may not longer be possible
 - Department affiliation attached to PI but not to award
- 7) PI Signature Requirements
 - Eliminate PI signature?
 - Follow lead of other federal agencies
 - Discuss with Legal
 - Simplify electronic transmission

8) Co-Investigators

- Co-Investigators recognized in reports
- Definition in GPS is adequate
- Normally not named in NGA
- Normally would not trigger prior approval requirement

9) Regulatory Issues

- PI defined as a the single individual responsible for scientific and technical aspects of project – need for rule making?
- Elimination of signature requirement may require regulatory changes?
- 10) eRA Issues (continued)

eRA Issues 1

Assumptions for Discussion - STATUS

- Maintain "contact PI" model for most reports
- Be able to search status for all PIs and Co-PIs
- All PIs on application will be able to view record in Status (PI View)
- All CO-PIs could be given AO View.
- Notifications to only Contact PI
- Access to PIs at other organizations

eRA Issues 2

Assumptions for Discussion - eSNAP

- Upload of progress report by any PI
- Delegate PI from any PI
- Delegation of Submission to one PI
- Routing could include Co-PIs

eRA Issues 3

Assumptions for Discussion - eGAP

- Policy will not require PI validation
- PIs will still desire to validate submission
- PI (single/multiple) validation would be optional in Commons but not possible after SO sign-off.